

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/809,329	03/16/2001	Marie Christine Bissery	03806.0493	5359	
22852	7590 07/11/2003				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER		
1300 I STRE	LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HENRY, MICHAEL C	
WASHINGI	ION, DC 20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1623 DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	\bigcap	
				U	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/809,329	BISSERY, MARIE CHRISTINE				
, in the second of the second	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Henry	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. The rejection in the previous Office Action is maintained. The applicant's arguments are not found be convincing. Applicant argues that the examiner relies on two definitions of sygergy (synergism or synergistic effect) and, because a clear and precise definition of synergistic effect is provided in the specification and examples, then the Examiner must examine the claims in view of this definition and not in view of other definitions. However, the term or word synergistic (synergy) as recited in the claims of applicant's invention does not suggest or imply any other meaning other than the ordinary and customary established meaning that is given in objective sources of information like dictionaries, encyclopedias, and treatises, and to which one skilled in the art gives attributes. It should be noted, that the term used in claims bear a "heavy presumption" that they mean what they say and have the ordinary meaning that would be attributed to those words by persons skilled in the art. See CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp., 288 F. 3d 1359, 1366, 62 USPQ2d 1658, 1662 (Fed. Cir. 2002). Furthermore, a definition for "therapeutic synergy" is not identical to synergistic therapeutic pharmaceutic composition. Also, all the claims do not use the word therapeutic with synergistic or synergy (see claim 13).

SAMUEL BARTS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200